Allocation under IDSMT Scheme to States

*50. SHRILALITBHA1MEHTA:

SHRIMATISAVITASHARDA:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) the allocations made under Integrated Development of Small and Medium Towns (IDSMT) Scheme during 1998-1999, 1999-2000, 2000-2001 and 2001-2002, State and Union Territory-wise;

(b) the number of proposals from each State and Union Territory approved and funds released;

(c) how many towns have been covered under the scheme and the amount spent each year during the last five years; and

(d)whether Government propose to allocate more funds in view of constraints of funds in local bodies?

THE MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI ANANTH KUMAR): (a) and (b) Allocation of funds to States and Union Territories is based on the proportion of population living in small and medium towns of the State to that of the country (in such towns) and performance including utilization of funds released earlier under the scheme, availability of State share, mobilization of institutional finance, etc. The number of towns approved and funds released to each State and Union Territory for ongoing and new towns since 1998-99 is given in Statement-I (See below).

(c) A Statement indicating year-wise towns (new) covered and Central assistance released under IDSMT scheme during the last five years is given as Statement-II (See below).

(d) Yes, Sir. The Tenth Plan Working Group has indicated an enhanced outlay for the Centrally Sponsored Scheme of IDSMT for the Tenth Plan (2002—2007). However, the proposal is yet to be approved by the Planning Commission.

Statement-I

Central Assistance released under IDSMT scheme during 1998-99, 1999-2000, 2000-01 and 2001-02 (upto 24th February, 2002)

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	State/UT	1998-99		1999-2000		2000-01		Ol-	:o
		No. of Towns	CA Released	No. of Towns	CA Released	No. of Town	CA Released	Towns	CA Released
I.	Andhra Pradesh	20	942.87	18	552.79	8	253.50	9	395.04
2.	Arunachal	1	4.00	2	33.00	—	—	1	16.00
3.	Assam	1	15.00	4	80.11	2	31.00	4	203.30
4.	Bihar*	2	20.00	—	—	2	30.00	—	—
5.	Goa	—	—	2	29.50	I	8.00	—	—
6.	Gujarat	9	167.95	12	453.17	20	621.76	8	320.00
7.	Haryana	4	128.00	—	—	6	264.60	3	130.40
8.	Himachal Pradesh	2	26.00	6	113.00	7	136.44	2	24.00
9.	)& K	1	70.00	—	—	1	38.24	2	220.00
10.	Karnataka	10	246.04	21	578.17	21	710.97	1 1	398.69
11.	Kerala	4	110.63	5	120.79	4	239.75	4	144.50
12.	Madhya	15	416.42	11	263.35	13	432.20	11	404.00
13.	Maharashtra	13	446.84	31	722.81	30	815.83	8	593.60
14.	Manipur	1	10.50	—	—	1	32.00	—	—
15.	Meghalaya	—	—	1	61.80	—	—	—	—
16.	Mizoram	1	34.40	4	74.00	—	—	3	124.00
17.	Nagaland	—	—	—	—	4	112.00	—	—
18.	Orissa	6	124.34	6	174.00	7	255.00	4	109.00
19.	Punjab	4	53.00	8	238.99	4	78.00	3	150.00
20.	Rajasthan	8	187.31	3	92.00	5	192.00	4	127.50
21.	Sikkim	—	—	2	30.00	2	32.00	2	60.00
22.	Tamil Nadu	13	172.73	19	278.26	13	210.71	5	275.96
23.	Tripura	2	16.00	3	55.06	3	48.00	4	113.00
24.	Uttar Pradesh*	5	101.00	4	68.00	13	559.86	18	679.45
25.	West Bengal	11	191.97	17	297.20	15	515.14	5	145.50
26.	A & N Islands								
27.	D&N Haveli	1	12.00	—	—	—	—	—	—
28.	Daman & Diu	1	8.00	—	—	—	—	—	—
29.	Lakshadweep								
30	Pondicherry	—	—	1	30.00	—	—	—	—
	GRAND TOTAL	1353	505.00	180	4346.00	182	5617.00	III	4633.94

*The informaltion of MP. includes Chattisgarh, Bihar includes Jharkhand and U.P. includes Uttaranchal.

Statement-II

Year-w ise towns (New) covered and Central Assistance released under the IDSMT scheme during the last 5 years

(Rs. in crores)

S.N	Year	No. ofTowns	Central Assistance Released
1.	1997-98	16	26.02
2.	1998-99	25	35.05
3.	1999-2000	60	43.46
4.	2000-2001	53	56.17
5.	2001-2002 (Till 15.2.2002)	63	46.33

Non-release of grants to NGOs

*51. SHRI S. AGNIRAJ: Will the Minister of SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that many projects being undertaken bv NGOs and duly recommended by State Governments are kept in abeyance due to non-release of funds to them;

(b) if so, the reasons for not releasing funds;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the projects recommended by State Governments are not taken seriously for grants; and

(d) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT (DR. SATYA NARAYAN JATIYA): (a) to (d) No, Sir. Funds are sanctioned by the Ministry to the NGOs on the recommendations of the State Government. However, sanction of funds to NGOs though forwarded by the State Government, cannot be made if the proposal does not fulfil the requisite criteria or complete information is not made available. In such cases, the NGOs are advised to suitably modify the proposal, submit the necessary information, documents etc.